

MEMBERS
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE
CHAIRPERSON
REV. MICHAEL MURRAY
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY
SECRETARY
JAMES A. FINK
JOHN W. INHULSEN
JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH
KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE
MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.
LINDA S. HOTCHKISS, MD

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
—
SHERRY L. MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE
CASE MANAGER
—
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

Case No. 15-23-GA

Notice Issued: January 25, 2018

Lyle Dickson, P 55424, Las Vegas, Nevada, by the Attorney Discipline Board, increasing Tri-County Hearing Panel #57's order of reprimand with condition to a suspension of 180 days and vacating the condition.

Suspension - 180 Days, Effective October 18, 2017

After proceedings in accordance with MCR 9.115, the hearing panel found that respondent committed professional misconduct by his conduct in reaction to the dismissal of his JAG officer application, in violation of MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(4); engaging in conduct that was prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) and MCR 9.104(1); engaging in conduct that exposed the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); and engaging in conduct that was contrary to justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(3).

Respondent filed a timely petition for review seeking reversal of the hearing panel's findings of misconduct and dismissal of the formal complaint. The Grievance Administrator filed a cross-petition for review arguing for an increase in the discipline and modification of the condition imposed by the panel. The Attorney Discipline Board conducted review proceedings in accordance with MCR 9.118, which included a review of the whole record before the panel, consideration of the parties' briefs and the arguments presented at a review hearing conducted on August 16, 2017. On September 19, 2017, an order increasing discipline from a reprimand with condition to a 180-day suspension and vacating condition was issued by the Board.

On October 17, 2017, respondent filed an application for leave to appeal with the Michigan Supreme Court, and, on October 18, 2017, respondent filed a motion for stay of the Board's order and a motion for immediate consideration. Respondent's motion for immediate consideration and motion for stay were denied by the Court on November 1, 2017; and respondent's application for leave to appeal was denied on January 3, 2018. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$3,553.31.

Mark A. Armitage
Executive Director