

**State of Michigan**  
**Attorney Discipline Board**

FILED  
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD  
11 DEC 19 PM 4:15

**In the Matter of the Petition for Reinstatement  
of Jeanine M. Ackerman, P52387,**

**ADB Case No. 11-141-RP  
AGC File No. DP #175-11**

**Petitioner,**

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**Notice of Hearing on Petition for Reinstatement**

Notice is given that Jeanine M. Ackerman, has filed a petition for reinstatement in the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan and with the Attorney Grievance Commission seeking reinstatement as a member of the Bar of this state and restoration of his license to practice law.

Effective August 27, 2009, Petitioner was suspended from the practice of law for 180 days based on the following:

Petitioner appeared at the second of two hearings but was found to be in default for failing to file an answer to the formal complaint. The panel concluded that the Petitioner's default was properly entered and that the default constituted Petitioner's admissions to the allegations of misconduct in the complaint that she neglected the interests of her client; failed to adequately prepare under the circumstances; knowingly disobeyed an obligation under the rules of a tribunal; and failed to file an answer to a request for investigation served by the Grievance Administrator. Petitioner's conduct violated MCR 9.104(A)(1)-(4) and (7); MDCR 9.113(A) and (B)(2); and Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct 1.1(b) and (c); 1.3; 3.4(c); 8.1(a)(2); and 8.4(a).

The Petitioner is required to establish the following by clear and convincing evidence:

1. That she desires in good faith to be restored to the privilege to practice law in this state;

2. The term of the suspension ordered has elapsed or five years have elapsed since revocation of her license:

3. She has not practiced or attempted to practice law contrary to the requirement of her suspension or revocation;

4. She has complied fully with the terms of the order of discipline;

5. Her conduct since the discipline has been exemplary and above reproach;

6. She has a proper understanding of and attitude toward the standards that are imposed on members of the Bar and she will conduct herself in conformity with such standards;

7. She can safely be recommended to the public, the courts, and the legal profession as a person fit to be consulted by others and to represent them and otherwise act in matters of trust and confidence, and in general to aid in the administration of justice as a member of the Bar and as an officer of the court;

8. That if she has been suspended for three years or more, she has been recertified by the Board of Law Examiners; and,

9. That she has reimbursed or has agreed to reimburse the Client Protection Fund any money paid from the fund as a result of her conduct. Failure to fully reimburse as agreed is grounds for revocation of a reinstatement.


The hearing in this matter is scheduled for Thursday, March 8, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., in Bloomfield Hills, Michigan.

In the interest of maintaining the high standards imposed on the legal profession as conditions for the privilege to practice law in this state, and of protecting the public, the judiciary, and the legal profession against conduct contrary to such standards, the Petitioner will be required to establish his eligibility for reinstatement by clear and convincing evidence.

Any interested person may appear at the reinstatement hearing and be heard in support of or in opposition to the petition for reinstatement. Any person having information bearing on the Petitioner's eligibility for reinstatement should contact:

John K. Burgess, Associate Counsel  
Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission  
535 Griswold, Suite 1700  
Detroit, MI 48226  
(313) 961-6585

Dated: December 19, 2011



John K. Burgess, P69256  
Associate Counsel  
Attorney Grievance Commission  
535 Griswold, Suite 1700  
Detroit, MI 48226  
(313) 961-6585