

State of Michigan
Attorney Discipline Board

FILED
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD
12 JAN -4 PM 3:46

**In the Matter of the Petition for Reinstatement
of Eric A. Tang, P58436,**

**ADB Case No. 11-142-RP
AGC File No. DP #001-12**

Petitioner,

_____ /

Notice of Hearing on Petition for Reinstatement

Notice is given that Eric A. Tang, has filed a petition for reinstatement in the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan and with the Attorney Grievance Commission seeking reinstatement as a member of the Bar of this state and restoration of his license to practice law.

Effective December 8, 2009, Petitioner was suspended from the practice of law for 180 days based on the following:

Petitioner and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5) which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. Petitioner admits that he was convicted of operating while impaired by liquor, third offense, a felony, in violation of MCL 257-6256-D in the Oakland County Circuit Court. Petitioner's conduct was in violation of MCR 9.104(A)(5).

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that Petitioner's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 180 days and that he be subject to conditions relevant to the admitted misconduct.

The Petitioner is required to establish the following by clear and convincing evidence:

1. That he desires in good faith to be restored to the privilege to practice law in this state;

2. The term of the suspension ordered has elapsed or five years have elapsed since revocation of his license:

3. He has not practiced or attempted to practice law contrary to the requirement of his suspension or revocation;

4. He has complied fully with the terms of the order of discipline;

5. His conduct since the discipline has been exemplary and above reproach;

6. He has a proper understanding of and attitude toward the standards that are imposed on members of the Bar and he will conduct himself in conformity with such standards;

7. He can safely be recommended to the public, the courts, and the legal profession as a person fit to be consulted by others and to represent them and otherwise act in matters of trust and confidence, and in general to aid in the administration of justice as a member of the Bar and as an officer of the court;

8. That if he has been suspended for three years or more, he has been recertified by the Board of Law Examiners; and,

9. That he has reimbursed or has agreed to reimburse the Client Protection Fund any money paid from the fund as a result of his conduct. Failure to fully reimburse as agreed is grounds for revocation of a reinstatement.


The hearing in this matter is scheduled for Monday, March 12, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., in Southfield, Michigan.

In the interest of maintaining the high standards imposed on the legal profession as conditions for the privilege to practice law in this state, and of protecting the public, the judiciary, and the legal profession against conduct contrary to such standards, the Petitioner will be required to establish his eligibility for reinstatement by clear and convincing evidence.

Any interested person may appear at the reinstatement hearing and be heard in support of or in opposition to the petition for reinstatement. Any person having information bearing on the Petitioner's eligibility for reinstatement should contact:

Cynthia C. Bullington, Assistant Deputy Administrator
Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission
535 Griswold, Suite 1700
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 961-6585

Dated: January 4, 2012


Cynthia C. Bullington, P33989
Assistant Deputy Administrator
Attorney Grievance Commission
535 Griswold, Suite 1700
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 961-6585