

STATE OF MICHIGAN

Attorney Discipline Board

GRIEVANCE ADMINISTRATOR,
Attorney Grievance Commission,

Petitioner/Appellee,

v

Case No. 24-111-GA

DAVID L. CARRIER, P 41531,

Respondent/Appellant.

/

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW

Issued by the Attorney Discipline Board
333 W. Fort St., Ste. 1700, Detroit, MI

Respondent filed a Petition for Interlocutory Review on November 21, 2025, seeking interlocutory review of the hearing panel's December 2, 2025 order denying respondent's motion for partial summary disposition as to Count Six, which was brought pursuant to MCR 2.116(C)(8) and (C)(10). The Grievance Administrator filed a response objecting to respondent's petition for interlocutory review on November 25, 2025.

The Attorney Discipline Board has considered the pleadings and positions of the parties, as referenced above, and is otherwise fully advised;

NOW THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that respondent's petition for interlocutory review of the hearing panel's order denying his motion for summary disposition is **DENIED**. The Board is not persuaded that it should undertake interlocutory review of the hearing panel's order prior to the entry of an order of dismissal or discipline under MCR 9.115(J), or that respondent will suffer substantial harm by awaiting final judgment before taking an appeal.

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

By: /s/ Alan Gershel, Chairperson

Dated: February 26, 2026

Board members Alan Gershel, Rev. Dr. Louis J. Prues, Peter A. Smit, Linda M. Orlans, Jason M. Turkish, Andreas Sidiropoulos, MD, Katie Stanley, Tish Vincent, and Kamilia Landrum concur in this decision.