

MEMBERS

ALAN GERSHEL

CHAIRPERSON

PETER A. SMIT

VICE-CHAIRPERSON

REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES

SECRETARY

LINDA M. ORLANS

JASON M. TURKISH

ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD


KATIE STANLEY

TISH VINCENT

KAMILIA K. LANDRUM

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

WENDY A. NEELEY
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN K. BURGESS
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR

OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER

JODIE GROH
CASE MANAGER

JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY

www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION AND RESTITUTION WITH CONDITIONS
(By Consent)

Case No. 26-67-GA

Notice Issued: September 18, 2026

Brunetta Brandy, P 32863, Detroit, Michigan

Suspension - 30 Days, Effective September 18, 2026

The Grievance Administrator filed a formal complaint against respondent, alleging that respondent engaged in professional misconduct related to her representation of a client in a probate matter. Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Discipline pursuant to MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by Tri-County Hearing Panel #10. The stipulation contained respondent's admissions to all factual allegations set forth in the formal complaint and her no contest plea to the allegations of professional misconduct, with the exception of subparagraph 46(e), which the parties agreed would be dismissed.¹

Based upon respondent's admissions and no contest plea as set forth in the parties' stipulation, the panel found that respondent neglected a legal matter entrusted to her, in violation of MRPC 1.1(c); failed to seek the lawful objectives of a client through reasonably available means, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a); failed to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client, in violation of MRPC 1.3; represented a client after the lawyer was discharged, in violation of MRPC 1.16(a)(3); engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or violation of the criminal law, where such conduct reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer, in violation of MRPC 8.4(b); engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MRPC 8.4(c) and MCR 9.104(1); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3); and engaged in conduct that is a violation of the Michigan Rules of Professional Conduct, in violation of MRPC 8.4(a) and MCR 9.104(4).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 30 days, that she pay restitution in the amount of \$3,008.00, and that she be subject to conditions relevant to the established misconduct. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,105.67.

¹ Paragraph 46(e) alleged that, upon termination of representation, respondent failed to take reasonable steps to protect a client's interest by failing to refund any advance payment of fee that has not been earned, in violation of MRPC 1.16(d).