

STATE OF MICHIGAN

Attorney Discipline Board

GRIEVANCE ADMINISTRATOR,
Attorney Grievance Commission,

Petitioner,

v

Case No. 24-30-GA

DONALD J. CATALDO, P 55257,

Respondent.

_____ /

ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT

Issued by Attorney Discipline Board
333 W. Fort St., Detroit, Michigan 48226

On July 15, 2026, Tri-County Hearing Panel #68 entered an Amended Order of Suspension in this matter suspending respondent from the practice of law in Michigan for 30 days, effective August 4, 2026. The Amended Order of Suspension required respondent to pay assessed costs in the amount of \$5,403.56 on or before August 4, 2026. On July 15, 2026, respondent filed a motion to modify the effective date of his suspension to September 1, 2026. On July 21, 2026, counsel for the Grievance Administrator filed a response leaving the decision to the discretion of the panel.

On July 28, 2026, the panel issued an order granting respondent's motion to modify effective date of suspension to September 1, 2026, and further ordered that all other paragraphs and provisions of the hearing panel's July 15, 2026, Amended Order of Suspension remain in full force and effect. On August 25, 2026, and pursuant to MCR 9.128(C), the Board served a certified report on respondent and the State Bar of Michigan, showing that respondent failed to reimburse the Attorney Discipline System for the expenses of the above-referenced disciplinary action within the time prescribed. In accordance with MCR 9.128(D), respondent's license to practice law in Michigan was automatically suspended, effective August 25, 2026, until the costs have been paid or the Attorney Discipline Board approves a suitable plan for payment, and until respondent complies with MCR 9.119 and 9.123(A).

On September 25, 2026, respondent filed an affidavit pursuant to MCR 9.123(A), attesting that he has fully complied with all requirements of the panel's order, including the payment of costs in their entirety, and that he will continue to comply with the order until and unless reinstated. Counsel for the Grievance Administrator informed the Board's staff that the Administrator has no objection to respondent's reinstatement; and the Board being otherwise advised;

NOW THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that respondent, Donald J. Cataldo, P 55257, is **REINSTATED** to the practice of law in Michigan, effective **October 1, 2026**.

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD

By: /s/ Wendy A. Neeley
Executive Director

Dated: October 1, 2026