

MEMBERS

PETER A. SMIT

CHAIRPERSON

TISH VINCENT

VICE-CHAIRPERSON

REV. DR. LOUIS J. PRUES

SECRETARY

JASON M. TURKISH

ANDREAS SIDIROPOULOS, MD

KATIE STANLEY


KAMILIA K. LANDRUM

MAUREEN T. KRASNER

ELIZABETH M. ROGERS

STATE OF MICHIGAN

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



333 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1700
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3147
PHONE: 313-963-5553

WENDY A. NEELEY
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN K. BURGESS
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

SHERRY MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR

OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER

JODIE GROH
CASE MANAGER

JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY

www.adbmich.org

AMENDED¹ NOTICE OF REPRIMAND
(By Consent)

Case No. 26-18-GA

Notice Issued: October 5, 2026

Frederick J. Boncher, P 23072, Grand Rapids, Michigan

Reprimand, Effective August 25, 2026

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a Stipulation for Consent Order of Discipline in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by Kent County Hearing Panel #4. Based on the parties' stipulation and respondent's admissions, the panel found that respondent committed professional misconduct while representing a husband and wife in a civil trespass lawsuit. Respondent admitted, and the panel found, that he entered into an agreement that charged a clearly excessive fee, and failed to abide by his clients' decision regarding a proposed settlement.

Specifically, the panel found that respondent: failed to seek the lawful objectives of a client, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a); failed to abide by a client's decision whether to accept an offer of settlement, in violation of MRPC 1.2(a); entered into an agreement for, charged, and/or collected an illegal or clearly excessive fee, in violation of MRPC 1.5(a); where he had not regularly represented the client, failed to communicate the basis or rate of the fee to his client before or within a reasonable time after commencing the representation, in violation of MRPC 1.5(b); violated or attempted to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, or knowingly assisted or induced another to do so, or did so through the acts of another, in violation of MRPC 8.4(a); engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice, in violation of MCR 9.104(1) and MRPC 8.4(c); engaged in conduct that exposes the legal profession or the courts to obloquy, contempt, censure, or reproach, in violation of MCR 9.104(2); engaged in conduct that is contrary to justice, ethics, honesty, or good morals, in violation of MCR 9.104(3); and, engaged in conduct that violates the standards or rules of professional conduct adopted by the Supreme Court, in violation of MCR 9.104(4).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the panel ordered that respondent be reprimanded. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$762.14.

¹ Amended to accurately reflect the factual allegations to which respondent admitted in the parties' stipulation for consent order of discipline.